

Denver, CO 80202-5141

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Bradley B. Brown 4220-46-C1-1 9481 10/646,256 08/22/2003 EXAMINER 7590 01/16/2004 Gary J. Connell MORRIS, PATRICIA L SHERIDAN ROSS P.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **Suite 1200** 1560 Broadway 1625

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^				
•	Application N	10.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/646,256		BROWN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Mo	rris	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-f	inal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 6-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6-62 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list since a specific reference was included in the from the International Bure application of the foreign language put the internation of the foreign language put th	nts have been rents have been rents have been rents iority documents au (PCT Rule 1) st of the certified stic priority undefirst sentence of provisional applications of the certified of the cer	eceived. eceived in Application s have been received 7.2(a)). I copies not received or 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) the specification or cation has been received or 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Sta d. e) (to a provisional ap in an Application Dat eived. and/or 121 since a se	plication) ta Sheet. pecific
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,256

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application has been found to contain more than one invention. Therefore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 6-11, drawn compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 275.4.
- II. Claims 12-17, drawn ti compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 276.4.
- III. Claims 18-21, drawn to various compounds, classified in class 546, various subclasses.
- IV. Claims 32-42, drawn to multiple uses, classified in class 514, various subclasses.
- V. Claims 43-53, drawn to multiple uses, classified in class 514, various subclasses.
- VI. Claims 54-62, drawn to multiple uses, classified in class 514, various subclasses.

These inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

These distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art, will support separate patents, and will require different fields of search for the respective inventions. Accordingly, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is considered proper; 35 U.S.C. 121; 37 CFR 1.141; 37 CFR 1.142.

Inventions I-III are drawn to patentably distinct compounds.

"A Markush-type claim is directed to "independent and distinct inventions", if two or more of its members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the claim obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 with respect to the other member(s)". In re Weber, 198 USPQ 330, footnote 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,256

Art Unit: 1625

A reference to a compound of Group I here would not be a reference to a compound of Group III. When one writes out the entire compound, as a whole, one arrives at patentably distinct heterocyclic compounds, along the lines indicated in the Groups of the first page of this action. Distinct, independent, heterocyclic nuclei.

Independent means the compound is capable of being utilized alone, not in combination with other compounds listed in the Markush expression; MPEP 802.01.

If the members are so diverse that they will support separate patents, *i.e.*, a reference for one would not constitute a reference for the other, then restriction is considered proper.

MPEP 2173.05(h).

Inventions I-III and IV-VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the instant compounds as claimed can be used in materially different processes as evidenced by applicants' own claims and specification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the event of an election of Group III, applicants are required to elect a single disclosed species representative of the claimed invention since the compounds encompass additional patentably distinct inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,256

Art Unit: 1625

Should applicant(s) traverse on the ground that the species inventions identified are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the above identified species inventions to be obvious variants, or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, of traverse, if the examiner finds one of the inventions in the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

In the event of an election of either Groups I, II or III, one method of use *i.e.*, a specific disclosed disease such as a specific cancer, psoriasis, etc., will be examined with the elected compounds

In, <u>In re Weber</u>, 198 USPQ 332, <u>In re Hengehold</u>, 169 USPQ 473, was noted for the proposition that as long as applicants have maintained the right (as they do here) to file the non-elected subject matter in divisional applications, then restriction is proper, as to that point.

Applicant may file the divisional subject matter noted in divisional applications. If applicant wishes a generic expression of the elected invention the claims here need be amended to reflect that election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1625

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Morris whose telephone number is (703) 308-4533. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays through Fridays.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patricia L. Morris
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

plm

January 12, 2004